





SCHEME 2

concerted process and we hence have modified the McKillop-Kobylecki mechanism into the rational alternative of Scheme 2.

It is to be noted that the two mechanisms predict different

origins for oxygen of the  $\text{RC}=\text{O}$  moiety. In Scheme 1, the source of the oxygen is the sodium acetate while in Scheme 2 the oxygen was originally on the nitro group.

Comparison of the experimental procedures for the isolation of **2** reveals no reason why we were able to isolate this compound while McKillop and Kobylecki could not since the reaction conditions and isolation procedures were quite comparable. In fact, our conditions were slightly more rigorous (Experimental) than those of McKillop and Kobylecki.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The procedures for the oxidation of 1-nitrobutane, 1-nitro-2-phenylethane and phenylnitromethane were essentially identical to that previously reported.<sup>2</sup> For example, phenylnitromethane (1.0 g, 0.008 mole) was added to  $\text{Ac}_2\text{O}$  (5 g; 0.05 mole) and anhyd  $\text{NaOAc}$  (0.5 g) and the mixture was heated to 80–85° for 9 hr on a steam bath. (McKillop and Kobylecki reported heating at 60–80° for 4.5 hr). The product mixture was cooled, poured into 200 ml of water and the aqueous extracted 3 times with 100 ml portions of  $\text{CHCl}_3$ . The  $\text{CHCl}_3$  soln was dried over  $\text{MgSO}_4$ , the solvent

evaporated and the residue vacuum distilled to yield 0.5 g (30%) of *N*-benzoyl-*N*,*O*-diacetylhydroxylamine (**2**); b.p. 160° at 10 mm; NMR ( $\text{CCL}_4$ ) 8.03 $\tau$ (3H,  $\text{CH}_3\text{COO}$ ), 7.57 (s, 3H,  $\text{CH}_3\text{CON}$ ), 2.2–2.6 (m, 5H, aromatic protons); IR (neat) 1800 and 1715  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (Found: C, 60.01; H, 4.78; N, 6.20. Calcd for  $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}_4$ : C, 59.73; H, 4.98; N, 6.33%).

In a similar manner 1-nitrobutane was oxidized to yield 50% of *N*-*n*-butyryl-*N*,*O*-diacetylhydroxylamine (**3**); b.p. 85° at 2 mm; NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ ) 7.751 $\tau$ (s, 3H), 7.70 (s, 3H), 7.40 (t, 2H), 8.30 (m, 2H), 9.03 (t, 3H); IR (neat) 1795 and 1715  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (Found: C, 50.96; H, 6.58; N, 6.88. Calcd for  $\text{C}_8\text{H}_{12}\text{NO}_4$ : C, 51.31; H, 7.00; N, 7.48%). Also 2-phenylnitroethane was oxidized to yield 33% of *N*-phenylacetyl-*N*,*O*-diacetylhydroxylamine (**4**); NMR ( $\text{CCL}_4$ ) 7.92 $\tau$ (s, 3H), 7.77 (s, 3H), 6.13 (s, 2H) and 2.81 (s, 5H); IR (neat) 1802 and 1720  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  (Found: C, 61.32; H, 5.40; N, 6.16. Calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{13}\text{NO}_4$ : C, 61.27; H, 5.57; N, 5.95%).

*Acknowledgement*—This work was supported in part by grant GM 15425 from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, National Institutes of Health.

#### REFERENCES

- <sup>1</sup>A. McKillop and R. J. Kobylecki, *Tetrahedron* **30**, 1365 (1974).
- <sup>2</sup>F. R. Stermitz and F. A. Norris, *J. Org. Chem.* **35**, 527 (1970).
- <sup>3</sup>See D. W. Neiswander, Ph.D. Thesis, Colorado State University, 1972 for further discussion of possible mechanisms.
- <sup>4</sup>A. W. Chapman and F. A. Fidler, *J. Chem. Soc.* 448 (1936).
- <sup>5</sup>D. Y. Curtin and L. L. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **89**, 637 (1967).